Queen's University Quality Assurance Processes Cyclical Program Review Guida**foce**Review Teams

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to outline the role of review teams in Cyclical Program Review, and provide guidance to reviewers. For any estions contact guidance contact guidance to reviewers.

Role of Review Teams in CThe Cyclical Program Review of existing programs assessing the quality of existing academic programs, identifying ongoing improve and ensuring continuing relevance of the program to students and other stakeh Program Review consists of the following elements:

- The selfstudyprovides an internal perspective on institutional priorities and their alignment with program objectives and programevel learning outcomesprogram strengths and opportunities, and program goals for continuous improvement.
- The external review provides an external perspective on the programs and makes recommendations for continuous improvement.
- The internal responses to the external view address the recommendations and generate a plan for their implementation.
- The required program changes identified in the Implementation Plan become the basis of a continuous improvement process

Roles of External and Internal Reviewers

External reviewers are chosen because of their knowledge and standing in their field, and expertise in

- · Creative practiceand innovation.
- Program alignment with university priorities, such as **<u>Briencipal's Strategic Framework</u>** academic integrity, and **EDIAA**.
- Academic alignment of progratevel learning outcomes with degreevel expectations, modes of delivery, assessments, teaching activities, and program structure.
- Quality indicators such as program enrolments, retention rates, and graduation rates.

Programsustainability is evaluated in the following ways:

- Availability of qualified faculty to support the program, as well as support staff, financial resources, and physical resources in mind that decisions about faculty complement are made at the Faculty Dean level).
- Use of supporting services such as the library, Student Academic Success Services, Information Technology Services, and the Centre for Teaching and Learning.
- Effectiveness of plans to monitor program quality.

Reviewers should carefully consider programs' strengths and weakness seed aswhere and how improvements can be made the Opclical Program Review rocess broadbased, reflective, and forward-looking: it focuses on where a program is now and where it will be in the new arrs. As with