\$

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Designing for A Safer Public Housing Community: A Case Study of Chester Le, Toronto

Crime and fear of crimare major concernsonfronting public housing tenants

\$ \$

A group safety audit was conducted with local residents in Chester Le, led the researcherusing a safety audit guideconsisted of seven evaluation criteria. The findings from the safety audit revealed some of the main safety concerns associated with the built environment in Chester Le, including

- Insufficient signage to define the entrances and exits;
- Inconsistent

\$

\$ \$ && \$

!"#\$%&\$

SAFETY AUDIT CRITERIA	STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES				
Territoriality						
Signs and Maps	 A variety of signs are present throughout the neighbourhood Existing signs are easy to read and understand Emergency contact information is available 	signage • Absence ofwayfinding				
Natural Surveillance						
Lighting	 Brighter Nights Program Tenants knew where to report broken lights 	 Low illumination level Inconsistent level dighting throughout neighbourhood spaces Vandalism 				
Sightlines	Tree trimming	Sharp cornersHigh fencesWallsOvergrown vegetationGrade separation				
Isolation	 Well-used playgroundind outdoorsitting area Functional CCTV Regular police patrol 	Lack of emergency services				
	Image					
Maintenance	 Accessible sperintendentÖs office Painted graffiti Curb Appeal Project 	 Maintenance of garbage dumpingareas Maintenance of backyards Long time forrepairs 				
	Environment Busy commercial plaza	• Open access to the park				
Nearby Land Use	 Busy commercial plaza Schools Well-used ommunity centre Well-used park Adjoining busy public streets 	 Open access to the park Separation from the main street bywall 				
	Natural Access Control					
Entrapment Siteand Escape Routes	Locked unused spaces	 Unlocked garbage duping areas Unlocked community garden Complicated alleyway networks 				

\$

\$ &&& \$

'()&*+&+*\$,-.\$/\$01,(.\$2-334+&5#\$

!"#\$%&\$

\$

\$

SAFETY AUDIT CRITERIA

\$