

## COMPARING FORBASED CODES AND URBAN CIESI GUIDELINES IN THEITERAL AREAS OF TWO MOTANN RESORT TOWNS

A Ma V W H U ¶ V 5 H S R U W V X E P L W W H G W R W K H 6 F K R F conformity with the requirements for the degree of Masteurban and Regional Planning (M.PI)

Ian Pinchin

School of Urban and Regional Planning,  $\\ \text{Department of Geography and Planning,} \\ 4 \text{ X H H Q } \\ \text{V} \text{ 8 Q L Y H U V}$ 

## COMPARING FORMASED CODES AND URBAN DESIGN GUIDELINES IN THE CENTRAL AREAS OF TWO MOUNTAIN RESORT TOWNS

## Ian Pinchin, September 2017

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Through a case study of two mountain resort towns and four study areasettearch investigates and evaluates the urban design and planning controls in place in Whistler, British Columbia and Mammoth Lakes, California. Specifically, this research evaluates a traditional Euclidian zoning bylaw that emphasizes the regulation of duse over form and its accompanying design guidelines (Resort Municipality of Whistler, BC) and a hybrid code (Mammoth Lakes, California). The question this research aims to answer is:

How are two mountain resort communities transitioning to incorpora

Each study area's physical built form was evaluated through direct observation and the results are summarized in Table Exe&. Overall, Whistler Village satisfied the most cite with Mammoth Village and Whistler Main Street almost fulfilling all the criteria, while Old Mammoth Road fulfilled almost none of the evaluation criteria.

Table Exe&: Built Form Summary

Mammoth Lakes. in supermarket, and compared it to similar developments in other study areas. The study showed that future projects developed under the updated planning controls will contribute to addressing many of the gaps in Mammoth's urban design. The report also concluded that Whistler, despite its traditional planning controls, was developed from a greenfield using a method whereby the Municipality had strong controls on the design and was able to parcel out municipal land under the condition that it be developed in accordance with a master plan and set of diess guidelines. This has led to a strong emphasis on maintaining a high-quality design from both the municipality and development community.

This report recommends that other resort communities and smaller municipalities look to Mammoth Lakes and Whistleor examples of how to enhance their urban design and public realm. Mammoth Lakes offers an example of how a small municipality can incorporate

form-based standards into its zoning code without the costs (political and economic) of overhauling the entire set of planning controls and developing a true feloassed code. Whistler demonstrates how a consistent standard of byight development can increase a small municipality's control over urban design and have lasting impacts on the quality for design foraultes. The report also notes both Whistler and Mammoth Lakes have many elements of feloassed codes and that these hybrid codes offer a solution for small municipalities that maintains continuity with familiar traditional zoning controls while